MISSISSIPPI LEGISLATURE

By: Representatives Ellington, Howell, Janus, Johnson, Montgomery, Read, Saucier, Woods To: Judiciary A

HOUSE BILL NO. 1001

AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 11-1-63, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO PROVIDE THAT THE SELLER OF A PRODUCT WHO IS NOT THE MANUFACTURER 1 2 SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR CERTAIN MANUFACTURING DEFECTS; AND FOR 3 4 RELATED PURPOSES. BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: 5 6 SECTION 1. Section 11-1-63, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 7 amended as follows: 11-1-63. In any action for damages caused by a product 8 except for commercial damage to the product itself: 9 10 The manufacturer or seller of the product shall not (a) be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of 11 12 the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller: 13 (i) 1. The product was defective because it 14 15 deviated in a material way from the manufacturer's specifications or from otherwise identical units manufactured to the same 16 17 manufacturing specifications, or The product was defective because it 18 2. 19 failed to contain adequate warnings or instructions, or 20 3. The product was designed in a defective 21 manner, or 2.2 4. The product breached an express warranty 23 or failed to conform to other express factual representations upon 24 which the claimant justifiably relied in electing to use the 25 product; and 26 (ii) The defective condition rendered the product 27 unreasonably dangerous to the user or consumer; and

H. B. No. 1001 99\HR12\R382 PAGE 1 (iii) The defective and unreasonably dangerous
condition of the product proximately caused the damages for which
recovery is sought.

31 (b) A product is not defective in design or formulation 32 if the harm for which the claimant seeks to recover compensatory 33 damages was caused by an inherent characteristic of the product 34 which is a generic aspect of the product that cannot be eliminated 35 without substantially compromising the product's usefulness or 36 desirability and which is recognized by the ordinary person with 37 the ordinary knowledge common to the community.

38 (i) In any action alleging that a product is (C) 39 defective because it failed to contain adequate warnings or 40 instructions pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the claimant does 41 not prove by the preponderance of the evidence that at the time 42 43 the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller, the 44 manufacturer or seller knew or in light of reasonably available 45 knowledge should have known about the danger that caused the damage for which recovery is sought and that the ordinary user or 46 47 consumer would not realize its dangerous condition.

48 (ii) An adequate product warning or instruction is one that a reasonably prudent person in the same or similar 49 50 circumstances would have provided with respect to the danger and 51 that communicates sufficient information on the dangers and safe 52 use of the product, taking into account the characteristics of, 53 and the ordinary knowledge common to an ordinary consumer who 54 purchases the product; or in the case of a prescription drug, 55 medical device or other product that is intended to be used only under the supervision of a physician or other licensed 56 57 professional person, taking into account the characteristics of, 58 and the ordinary knowledge common to, a physician or other 59 licensed professional who prescribes the drug, device or other product. 60

H. B. No. 1001 99\HR12\R382 PAGE 2 61 (d) In any action alleging that a product is defective pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the manufacturer or 62 seller shall not be liable if the claimant (i) had knowledge of a 63 condition of the product that was inconsistent with his safety; 64 (ii) appreciated the danger in the condition; and (iii) 65 deliberately and voluntarily chose to expose himself to the danger 66 in such a manner to register assent on the continuance of the 67 dangerous condition. 68

69 (e) In any action alleging that a product is defective 70 pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)2 of this section, the manufacturer or seller shall not be liable if the danger posed by the product is 71 72 known or is open and obvious to the user or consumer of the product, or should have been known or open and obvious to the user 73 74 or consumer of the product, taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common to, the 75 76 persons who ordinarily use or consume the product.

(f) In any action alleging that a product is defective because of its design pursuant to paragraph (a)(i)3 of this section, the manufacturer or product seller shall not be liable if the claimant does not prove by the preponderance of the evidence that at the time the product left the control of the manufacturer or seller:

(i) The manufacturer or seller knew, or in light
of reasonably available knowledge or in the exercise of reasonable
care should have known, about the danger that caused the damage
for which recovery is sought; and

87 (ii) The product failed to function as expected
88 and there existed a feasible design alternative that would have to
89 a reasonable probability prevented the harm. A feasible design
90 alternative is a design that would have to a reasonable
91 probability prevented the harm without impairing the utility,
92 usefulness, practicality or desirability of the product to users
93 or consumers.

H. B. No. 1001 99\HR12\R382 PAGE 3

94 (g) (i) The manufacturer of a product who is found liable for a defective product pursuant to subsection (a) shall 95 indemnify a product seller for the costs of litigation, any 96 reasonable expenses, reasonable attorney's fees and any damages 97 98 awarded by the trier of fact unless the seller exercised substantial control over that aspect of the design, testing, 99 manufacture, packaging or labeling of the product that caused the 100 101 harm for which recovery of damages is sought; the seller altered 102 or modified the product, and the alteration or modification was a 103 substantial factor in causing the harm for which recovery of 104 damages is sought; the seller had actual knowledge of the 105 defective condition of the product at the time he supplied same; 106 or the seller made an express factual representation about the aspect of the product which caused the harm for which recovery of 107 108 damages is sought.

(ii) Subparagraph (i) shall not apply unless the seller has given prompt notice of the suit to the manufacturer within thirty (30) days of the filing of the complaint against the seller.

(h) <u>The seller of a product who is not the manufacturer</u> shall not be liable for any latent defect in a product unless the seller has knowledge of the defect or unless the defect violates an express warranty made by the seller.

117 (i) Nothing in this section shall be construed to 118 eliminate any common law defense to an action for damages caused 119 by a product.

SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after July 1, 1999.